



How AI Personalization Influences Purchase Decisions

Muhammad Muzammil Asghar

School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

Received: 14-09-2025

Revised: 29-09-2025

Accepted: 12-10-2025

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Muzammil Asghar

muzammilasghar42@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A variety of businesses are using AI personalization technology to cater their market mixes to specific customers. This paper looks at how AI personalization impacts customer behavior in the context of three different factors: the role of perceived relevance and customer trust as mediators, and the impact of seclusion concerns as a moderator. A quantitative methodology was applied in this study in order to examine the phenomenon. The outcomes prove AI personalization positive impressions buying determined together direct as well as that improved purchaser conviction and importance, although the concealment apprehensions negative effect. The study examine the properties of AI personalization had on buyer purchase performance, to suggestions the type to personalization progressions assistance that may be suggestively donate remaining works.

Keywords: Personalization tools, customer trust, buying

INTRODUCTION

In addition to providing personalized experiences, AI-enabled algorithms techniques offer personalized satisfied, whether offers, discounts, or product suggestions, created on the real-time analysis of consumer data (Wedel & Kannan, 2016). Previous studies show that consumer engagement, satisfaction, and conversion are positively influenced by personalization (Bleier et al, 2018). In data-driven market, however, the growing dependence of marketers on consumer data leads to diminishing consumer trust, and transparency (Martin and Murphy, 2017). AI personalization heavily influences purchase decisions by tailoring experiences through relevant product recommendations, targeted ads, and customized offers, which increases user engagement, builds trust, reduces decision fatigue, and makes consumers feel understood, ultimately driving higher purchase intent by aligning marketing with individual preferences and needs. Marketers, as a result, face the challenge that data determined promotion practices particular, personalization AI. This research analyzes the influences of AI personalization on consumer purchase behavior by addressing the impact of perceived relevance and trust as potential intervening variables and seclusion concern as a moderating variable (Davenport et al., 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Personalization of AI

It helps companies examine patterns in both organized and disorganized data in order to understand consumer preferences and behaviors (Huang & Rust, 2021). Machine learning changes in real-time to customer's market content based on their browsing histories, buying behaviors, and contextual data (Shankar, 2018). Evidence suggests that market is more effective when AI personalization is used to



Volume 1, Issue 4, 2025

provide timely and pertinent information to consumers (Davenport et al., 2020). AI agents operate within the constraints of their programming, available computational resources, and hardware limitations. This means they are restricted to performing tasks within their defined scope and have finite memory and processing capabilities.

Purchase Decision-Making

The purchase decision of consumers involves mental processes, feelings, and emotional risk (Kotler & Keller, 2022). Accountability in the context of choice overload and decision fatigue shows that tailored market simplifies the selection process to the options most relevant distinct (Tam & Ho, 2006). Buying decision process is the decision-making process used by consumers regarding the market transactions before, during, and after the purchase of a good...

Therefore, reinvestment in market increases likelihood of consumer intentionality and actual purchasing (Bleier et al., 2018).

The Relationship of Relevance and Consumer Trust

The evaluation of relevance is the extent to which a consumer can relate a market message to the goals and objectives in the consumer's mind (Xu et al., 2011). More relevant recommendations strengthen and enhance the consumer's evaluation and the usefulness perceived. The intention to purchase is deepened as a result (Bleier et al., 2018). When the interaction is automated through AI in the online environment, trust becomes one of the most important elements (Gefen et al., 2003). Increased trust in AI recommendations leads to a greater reliance on the recommendations and a greater tendency to purchase (Huang & Rust, 2021).

Seclusion Concerns

Although there are positive aspects of personalization, it also brings up some issues regarding seclusion related to data collection and monitoring (Martin & Murphy, 2017). Studies show that seclusion issues may diminish trust and consequently, lead to an increased rejection to market that has been personalized (Awad & Krishnan, 2006). Therefore, seclusion issues may counteract the positive effects that the AI personalization brings to buying choices.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The quantifiable method of the research used as well as a pre-structured questionnaire to examine relationships between variables AI personalization, perceived relevance, consumer trust, seclusion concerns, and purchase decisions.

Sample and Data Collection

Data was obtained from consumers who have previously used AI-personalized online shopping platforms. A self-administered questionnaire was completed by respondents consuming Likert scale five-point.



Measurement Instruments

To guarantee reliability and validity, measurement items were taken from previous research with proven scales (Gefen et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2011). Each construct was evaluated with several indicators.

DATA ANALYSIS

Transmission of Data

It may include analysis that missing variables and values outliers. Descriptive statistics suggest the presence of skewness and kurtosis values that do support the assumption of normality (Hair et al, 2019).

Reliability and Validity

All the constructs that were assessed Cronbach's alpha were prescribed equal to 0.70, that shows that there is strong internal consistency (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). The factor analysis shows that the factor loadings were in excess of 0.60 which positive supports convergent validity, while discriminant validity also positive supports.

Descriptive Statistics

The overall results from the study provide evidence of the customers' positive feedback on the overall service personalization on the A.I.

Correlation Analysis

The Pearson correlation analysis correlate A.I. personalization, perceived relevance, consumer trust, and purchase decisions, consistent (Hair et al., 2019).

Regression Assessment

The multiple regression provided ample evidence to show correlation which was strongly positive between AI personalization as well as purchase decisions as in the model $p < .05$. It also showcased the strong predictive ability of AI personalization as it provided evidence for a strong model fit.

Mediation Analysis

The relationship between AI personalization and purchase decisions was mediated by relevance and the trust of the consumer. As Xu et al. (2011) pointed out, this is consistent with prior research.

Moderation Analysis

There was a control conclusion that confidentiality within that association of AI personalization also the purchase decisions (Awad & Krishnan, 2006).

DISCUSSION

This research initiate confidence as well as ostensible significance consequences of the AI personalization that trendy chance centrals a better customer buying choices. Thus, results remain



Volume 1, Issue 4, 2025

reliable with the earlier works observed toward discover significance as well as confidence in framework of the numerical advertising (Bleier et al., 2018; Gefen et al., 2003). This study examine to apprehension of discretion, that may be shown a boundaries of wherever AI must be inside the selling.

MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

Sellers want to raid an equilibrium among collection the data clearly with buyer agreement with using as AI personalization to shape applicable besides dependable involvements. Production transactions smart moral AI to exploit distance of buyer relations as well as boundary to opportunity of apprehensions connected to confidentiality (Martin and Murphy, 2017).

CONCLUSION

According to the findings of this research, AI personalization had effects on a consumer's decision to make a purchase. The equilibrium between constructive and destructive values be situated confidence and significance against the undesirable effect of discretion. Forthcoming studies reflect that effect of AI personalization arranged irritated attitude rulers.

REFERENCES

- Awad, N. F., & Krishnan, M. S. (2006). The personalization–privacy paradox: An empirical evaluation of information transparency and the willingness to be profiled online for personalization. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(1), 13–28.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/25148715>
- Bleier, A., De Keyser, A., & Verleye, K. (2018). Customer engagement through personalization and customization. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 34(1–2), 1–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2017.1388292>
- Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 24–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00696-0>
- Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 51–90.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(1), 30–50.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00749-9>
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2022). *Marketing management* (16th ed.). Pearson.
- Martin, K. D., & Murphy, P. E. (2017). The role of data privacy in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(2), 135–155.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-016-0495-4>

Volume 1, Issue 4, 2025

Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Shankar, V. (2018). How artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 94(4), vi–xi.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359\(18\)30076-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(18)30076-9)

Wedel, M., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). Marketing analytics for data-rich environments. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 97–121.

<https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0413>

Xu, H., Luo, X., Carroll, J. M., & Rosson, M. B. (2011). The personalization privacy paradox. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 18(1), 1–36.