



## TURING LEDGER JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

### **Nanotechnology, Surface Coatings, and Corrosion Resistance in Mechanical Components**

**Muhammad Ibrahim Aslam**

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan*

*Email: [ibrahimaslam@yahoo.com](mailto:ibrahimaslam@yahoo.com)*

Received: 29-12-2025

Revised: 21-01-2026

Accepted: 18-02-2026

**Corresponding Author: Muhammad Ibrahim Aslam**

#### **ABSTRACT:**

Until today corrosion is one of the most important and difficult degrading phenomena of mechanical components in almost every industrial application with serious economic impacts. Global corrosion costs are estimated at 3.4% of global GDP or \$2.5 trillion per year, including mechanical infrastructure, pipelines, marine structures, and aerospace components, which are especially high impact corrosion loss areas. Nanotechnology based surface coatings are a new breed of corrosion protection systems that provide barrier properties, electrochemical protection performance and functional longevity that are markedly superior to that provided by traditional coating technologies. The qualitative exploratory research design was used to understand the mechanism, development, challenges of the industries, and applications of nanocoating technologies for corrosion resistance enhancement. Using purposive sampling, 22 key informants, including corrosion engineers, materials scientists, coating technology specialists, industry practitioners, and research academics, were recruited and interviewed in-depth and semi-structured. Complementary data were obtained from document analysis of laboratory reports, technical case studies, patent literature and industrial application documents as a source of triangulation. Four main themes emerged from the thematic analysis: nanocoating protective mechanisms, recent technological developments, industry challenges for implementation, and sector-specific applications. Results have been consistently positive for nanotechnology-based coatings, such as nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, nano-ZnO, graphene-reinforced, and nano-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> systems, showing the coatings to be 68–92% more corrosion resistant than their conventional coating counterparts in laboratory and field trials. The main challenges to a wider industry adoption are: scalability of production, uniform dispersion of nanoparticles, cost competitiveness, and regulatory compliance about the exposure of nanoparticles in the environment. Finally, the study suggests recommendations for materials scientists, industrial engineers, regulatory agencies, and investors making decisions on the responsible scaling of nanocoating technologies for high value industrial applications.

**Keywords:** nanotechnology, surface coatings, corrosion resistance, nanocoatings, mechanical components, materials science, nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, graphene coatings, qualitative research, industrial applications

## INTRODUCTION:

Corrosion is a basic engineering problem of oxidation or electrochemical degradation of materials due to their interactions with environmental factors such as moisture, oxygen, chlorides, acids, and biological organisms, which has significant economic, safety, and environmental consequences. The 2016 NACE International Global Cost of Corrosion Study, the most complete corrosion economics study that has ever been performed, determined that the cost of corrosion in the world is about 3.4% of the entire gross domestic product (GDP) of the global economy, or \$2.5 trillion per year (Koch et al., 2016). These costs involve direct costs to corrosion control equipment, replacement costs for corroded materials, structural repair, reconstruction of these assets, indirect costs such as loss of production due to downtime, loss of life due to safety concerns related to corrosion, contamination of the environment from corroded storage systems, and loss of asset value due to corrosion. So the need and the economic opportunities to be had by improving existing technologies for corrosion protection are obvious: 15–35% of these total costs could be avoided if such technologies were applied.

Mechanical components, such as pipelines, pressure vessels, structural fasteners, bearings, turbine parts, marine hardware, and automotive structural parts, are especially susceptible to degradation driven by corrosion due to their critical functional attributes, complex geometry, variety of corrosive environments, and the profound impact upon failure. The cost of pipeline corrosion is estimated to be \$7 billion per year in the U.S. petroleum industry, and causes an estimated 25% of all oil and gas infrastructure failures worldwide, and is a significant cause of environmental contamination incidents (Koch et al., 2016). The cost of aerospace structural corrosion is estimated to be \$2 billion annually for commercial aviation alone and up to \$50 billion annually in the United States Navy fleet maintenance budget.

For many decades, traditional corrosion protection methods, such as organic polymer coatings, hot dip galvanizing, electroplating, anodizing and cathodic protection systems have been used to provide corrosion protection in industrial applications. But the drawbacks of these traditional methods have been becoming more evident as demands for intense operating conditions, longer component design lives, and increasingly stringent environmental protection regulations drive out of use the traditional corrosion inhibitor chemical species such as chromates, lead-based materials, and volatile organic solvents (Zheludkevich et al., 2012). The driving force of these converging pressures—enhanced corrosion protection performance, extended service life of the coating components, and environmentally friendly corrosion protection chemistry—has led to strong technological pull for new coating solutions that can more effectively meet the needs that current coating solutions are not fulfilling.

Nanotechnology-based surface coatings, which are systems where nanoscale materials (which are usually 1–100 nm in at least one dimension) are used as functional components of coating matrices to enhance or introduce specific protective properties are the most promising class of advanced corrosion protection solutions currently under development and industrial deployment. The unique properties of nanoscale materials such as extremely high surface area to volume ratio, quantum-mechanical electronic properties, high reactivity and ability to fill micro-scale coating defects that would allow electrolyte to enter the coating system create corrosion protection mechanisms and levels that are not available in conventional bulk material coating systems (Montemor, 2014). Nanocoating corrosion protection has been reported as 60% to more than 90% when compared to the standard coating of metals. Nanocoatings studied include the derivative of graphene, aluminum oxide ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), cerium dioxide ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ), silicon dioxide ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ), zinc oxide ( $\text{ZnO}$ ), and titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ).

The use of nanotechnology-based coatings in industry has not advanced as quickly as the research base might indicate, however, given the impressive performance potential. While the difference between laboratory proven results and commercial nanocoating systems is significant and reflects a complex set of technical, economic, regulatory and organizational barriers, it is clear that substantial efforts are

underway to overcome them. The barrier landscape of the adoption of nanoparticles, in terms of production scalability, dispersion uniformity challenges in commercial scale coating application, adhesion and durability under cyclic loading and thermal exposure conditions under industrial exposure, uncertainty in regulatory process for nanoparticles environmental and occupational exposures and cost differentials compared with conventional coatings is systematic and should be investigated and strategically managed (Saji & Thomas, 2021).

The purpose of this study was to constructively respond to a lack in the current research literature on nanotechnology based corrosion protection which is characterised by the limited qualitative, practitioner-based examination of nanocoating technology as it is known, applied and assessed by the engineers, scientists and industry practitioners with whom it interacts in the real-world industrial environment. The quantitative and experimental literature on nanocoating performance is highly developed and technically sophisticated, but it lacks in important respects an understanding of the organizational, practical and contextual dimensions of nanocoating adoption – namely, the barriers and enablers that shape whether the impressive laboratory performance is reflected in the commercial and operationally effective industrial deployment.

The research aims to gain a multi-perspectival and rich understanding of the state of the art, successes and challenges in nanocoating technology, and the potential for its further industrialization through semi-structured interviews with 22 carefully selected expert participants (ranging from corrosion engineering, materials science, coating technology specialization, industrial practice, and academic research), and systematic analysis of documentary evidence, namely laboratory reports, technical case studies and industrial application records.

This study does not just have implications for materials science, but for industrial engineering management, environmental sustainability and infrastructure economics. The need for effective, scalable and environmentally-friendly nanocoating technologies is more urgent than ever, as the global infrastructure has aged and service life expectations have climbed, and the operating environment has become increasingly harsh, with the added challenges of climate change, aggressive industrial chemicals and other factors. The findings of this study add to the knowledge pool that can drive this progress more quickly than would be achieved in a laboratory setting, but will do so in a manner more representative of industrial application conditions than idealized ones.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW:**

### **Corrosion Mechanisms and the Economics of Materials Degradation**

Although the basic mechanisms of corrosion of metallic materials have been known since the early 1900's, the complexity of corrosion behaviour for various material-environment combinations still continues to stimulate a lot of research interest. In aqueous environment, corrosion of ferrous metals occurs by anodic dissolution which involves the generation of metal ions and release of electrons, and cathodic reduction reactions, which for neutral or alkaline environments is the reduction of oxygen and for acidic environments is the evolution of hydrogen (Revie & Uhlig, 2008). The thermodynamic driving force for these reactions, which are determined by the standard electrode potential of the appropriate electrochemical couples, and the concentrations of the reactive species in the environment, is responsible for the inherent corrosivity of a particular material and environment system, whereas the kinetic factors such as formation of surface films, diffusive limitations and adsorption of inhibitors dictate the actual corrosion rate under given conditions.

The major morphological types of metallic corrosion found in industrial mechanical components are uniform corrosion, pitting corrosion, crevice corrosion, galvanic corrosion, stress corrosion cracking, corrosion fatigue, and microbiologically influenced corrosion (Revie & Uhlig, 2008). The different morphological types have different engineering problems, and they necessitate specific protective measures. As a highly localized, self-propagating dissolution site on an otherwise apparently passive

metal surface, pitting corrosion is especially insidious in many engineering applications since the geometric severity of pitting creates stress concentration effects that can lead to catastrophic failure at applied loads well below those expected by uniform corrosion assumptions. Another major concern with the use of stainless steels, aluminum alloys and titanium alloys in offshore, marine and chemical process industry applications is their susceptibility to pitting in chloride containing environments.

In a detailed sector-by-sector analysis provided by Koch et al., (2016), the oil and gas production and transmission sector is shown to have the highest absolute corrosion cost burden of \$589 billion annually in the U.S., followed by utilities infrastructure, transportation, and infrastructure including bridges, highways, and waterfront structures. These numbers put into perspective the economic backdrop against which investments in advanced anti-corrosion technologies such as nanocoatings have to be assessed. A technology that can lower the corrosion costs by 10 to 15% in just one major sector is an economic value proposition of billions of dollars annually that is well worth the current nanocoating research and development expenditure.

The technological advancement of corrosion protection technologies is especially relevant in today's context driven by the environment and regulations. Historically the most effective single corrosion protection chemistry ever developed for aluminum and zinc substrates was chromate based, but with the progressive restrictions and prohibitions of these chemicals under the REACH regulation (European Union) and similar regulations in other jurisdictions, there is an urgent need for other corrosion protection systems that can perform as well or better as chromate without the associated carcinogenic hazard profile (Montemor, 2014). A technology transition driven by regulation is one of the most potent triggers of nanotechnology-based coatings research; nanoscale rare earth oxide particles have shown that they exhibit corrosion inhibition properties similar to chromate as a result of similar electrochemical self-healing mechanisms.

### **Nanotechnology-Based Coating Systems: Mechanisms and Chemistry**

Nanotechnology-based corrosion protective coatings are enabled by a number of unique mechanisms that are not found or are extremely restricted in traditional bulk material coating processes. The most general barrier enhancement technology is the nanoscale filling of pores – inorganic particles can be filled in organic polymer-based coatings or can be an intrinsic part of the inorganic sol-gel films to block the micro scale defects, pinholes and porosity features that allow an electrolyte to enter a conventional coating (Zheludkevich et al., 2012). This pore filling effect is inversely proportional to the size of the nanoparticles, and in particular, 10-50nm particles are very good at blocking pore structures which micron scale conventional fillers cannot address.

Self-healing corrosion protection—the ability of a coating system to automatically react to localized damage events by releasing corrosion-inhibiting chemical species at the damage location—may be the most conceptually unique of the many capabilities that nanotechnology has brought to the coating science field. Zheludkevich et al. (2012) review the state-of-the-art of self-healing nanocoating methods, including nanocontainer-based inhibitor delivery systems that deliver an inhibiting species from within a nanoscale hollow particle, triggered by a pH change or damage occurring at a corrosion site, and intrinsic self-healing polymer systems designed using reversible chemical bonding chemistry for barrier reintegration after damage. These self-healing mechanisms are directly aimed at the inherent weakness of traditional coatings, that is, the gradual accumulation of damage to traditional coatings which leads to the formation of weak resistance sites allowing corrosive electrolyte to penetrate and reach the metal surface of the substrate.

The most spectacular applications of the corrosion protection potential of nanotechnology are graphene and graphene derivative coatings, which are unable to penetrate the graphene crystal lattice with all gases and liquids except protons. Recently, graphene and reduced graphene oxide were used for ultra-thin corrosion barriers as reported by Berry (2013), so that even monolayer graphene films with about 0.34 nm thickness are able to significantly reduce the permeation of oxygen and water vapor into the

coating, which, if applied across the entire coating surface, would ensure essentially complete protection against the initiation of electrochemical corrosion. To date, production of defect-free graphene films at larger scale, and the susceptibility of graphene-coated metals to galvanic corrosion at defects within the graphene film, have thwarted commercial implementation of graphene coating technology, but continued research progress in defect remediation and in large area deposition techniques is bringing graphene coating to the brink of industrial viability.

The most commercially advanced nanocoating systems for the protection of steel and aluminium substrates in construction, automotive and industrial maintenance applications are based on the combination of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> and nano-ZnO. The photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles gives the material a self-cleaning effect in addition to corrosion prevention, where the oxidation of organic contaminants on the surface of the coating by UV radiation inhibits the formation of layers of biofilm and organic deposits that could be causes of the initiation of localized corrosion (Saji & Thomas, 2021). Nano-ZnO particles act as physical barrier fillers as well as sacrificial anode materials, offering cathodic protection to the steel substrate via the dissolution of zinc at defect locations, similar to that of conventional steel substrate coatings using zinc-rich primer but with improved barrier efficiency due to higher surface area of the nanoparticles and more uniform zinc distribution possible by nanoformulation.

### **Performance Evaluation and Characterization of Nanocoatings**

Characterization of the corrosion performance of nanocoatings is very demanding and demands a very complete analytical toolbox including both electrochemical assessment methods and surface analytical techniques. A technique that has proven to be the most powerful and informative electrochemical tool used to evaluate nanocoatings is electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) which yields quantitative information on the barrier resistance of nanocoatings, on how the capacitance evolves during exposure, and on how underlying metal-coating interface phenomena develop prior to the onset of visible corrosion (Kendig & Scully, 1990). The time-resolved impedance data obtained by EIS allows mechanistic interpretation of coating degradation processes that gives much more detailed information on coating protection mechanisms than the duration of the visual assessment of the coating or the results of a salt spray test that are still used in many industrial test procedures.

Surface analytical tools such as X ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X ray analysis (SEM-EDX) can be used to complement the structural and chemical characterization of nanocoating systems at the spatial scale required for characterizing nanoscale protective mechanisms (Montemor, 2014). TEM cross sectional analysis can be used to directly assess the barrier effectiveness of pore fill in coating matrices and XPS depth profiling can be used to characterize the chemical composition profiles across the nanocoating-substrate interfaces which are critical factors in the quality of adhesion and corrosion resistance. These surface analytical techniques combined with electrochemical evaluation are essential for a comprehensive characterization system that can be used to fully evaluate nanocoating performance and elucidate its mechanisms.

The results of accelerated testing by salt spray exposure (ASTM B117 test), cyclic corrosion testing (SAE J2334 test), and immersion testing in simulated service environments are most relevant to the performance data required in a coating qualification for industry use. Comparative corrosion performance data are summarized for various nanocoating systems and conventional coating benchmarks by Saji and Thomas (2021), and nanocoatings are always superior in terms of the time to onset of corrosion, blistering resistance, and ability to retain adhesion after exposure. The problem is, though, that the translation of accelerated test performance into service life predictions is not an exact science; and the mechanisms of coating degradation in accelerated tests do not always accurately represent those in real service environments, which has important implications for the qualification of nanocoating technology and its industrial adoption.

## Industrial Applications and Technology Transfer Challenges

The industries that are aggressively looking to incorporate nanocoating in their mechanical components for corrosion protection cover a broad spectrum of environments and technical needs. The oil and gas infrastructure application space is the most critical for nanocoatings due to the combination of harsh operating conditions such as high-salinity brines, hydrogen sulfide-containing process streams and exposure to deep-water seawater, which can result in catastrophic consequences of pipeline or vessel failure in terms of both financial and environmental factors (Koch et al., 2016). Laboratory testing of the nano-ZnO-epoxy and nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>-polymer composite coatings on subsea pipeline infrastructure has shown life extension (40-60%) compared with conventional epoxy coatings with corresponding reductions in the frequency of maintenance interventions and offshore coating repairs – the benefit to the operator is more than compensated for by the cost of the nano coating systems, given the high value of this application context.

A second application domain of high priority is aerobic structural corrosion protection, which is addressed by the superior performance in terms of corrosion protection and the reduced thickness of coating thickness that nanocoating systems can offer due to strict weight requirements, complex component geometry, long service life and stringent demands on the integrity of the components. The aerospace structural corrosion protection application is another field of importance where the superior properties of nanocoating systems in terms of corrosion protection, combined with the reduced thickness of the coating, which is enabled by strict weight constraints, complex geometric forms of the components, long service lives and critical requirements of integrity of the structure, are present. The military and civilian airworthiness corrosion performance standards are unmatched for the protection that chromate conversion coatings provide, and the regulatory push to remove the protection has generated a strong pull for alternatives such as nanocoatings to be developed. Sol-gel coatings with cerium dioxide nanoparticles have been proven to have the best chromate equivalent inhibition performance in aerospace testing programs, and are currently variously qualified in defense aerospace applications for several national programs.

The technology transfer hurdles of taking laboratory-developed nanocoating results from a lab scale all the way to commercially scaled industrial utilization are significant and complex. Agglomeration (van der Waals attractive forces causing spontaneous grouping of nanoparticles into micronscale clusters) is essentially ignored when nanoparticles are being synthesized in the laboratory, but becomes increasingly problematic as the production batch size increases, as Bardal (2004) mentions, the scale-up issue is the most basic problem in the adoption of industrial nanocoating. Agglomerated clusters of nanoparticles are not as effective as well-dispersed individual nanoparticles at filling the pores for barrier and creating uniform electrochemical protection: essentially an expensive nanocoating becomes a poor conventional coating. To solve this scale-up challenge, there must be ongoing investment in the development of nanoparticle surface functionalization chemistry and industrial dispersion technology, which represent costs and complexity to the nanocoating supply chain.

## METHODOLOGY:

### Epistemological Orientation and Research Design

The study used a qualitative exploratory study design within an interpretivist epistemological approach. For several interrelated methodological reasons the exploratory qualitative approach was selected. Industrial application of nanotechnology-based surface coatings is still a very dynamic research area, and the most up-to-date and useful knowledge lies within the experience of practitioners and experts, not in the published literature, making expert elicitation through qualitative inquiry a valuable research method. Second, the technology transfer and commercialization problems of nanocoating adoption are social and organizational phenomena, with sociological and economic underpinnings, and regulated interpretation, that cannot be effectively understood by experimentation or quantitative measurements. Third, the exploratory design recognises the early nature of the qualitative scholarly understanding of

nanocoating industrial implementation, and thus situates the study as a knowledge generation study, rather than a hypothesis testing study.

The interpretivist perspective on the study acknowledges that expert knowledge of the performance, challenges and applications of nanocoating is not simply a direct reflection of the objective material properties of the technology, but is the result of the combination of disciplinary training, institutional setting, practical experience and values, which give shape to the interpretative frames through which experts make sense of such complex, ambiguous and dynamically changing technology. Understanding the range and variation of these interpretive approaches and expertise, and how they converge and diverge in revealing aspects of the current state of the technology and its developmental challenges, is a key analytical goal of the study.

### Purposive Sampling and Participant Recruitment

The purposive sampling method was used to identify the participants who have specific expertise in the field of nanotechnology based coatings and corrosion resistance of mechanical components. The target population included corrosion engineers, research scientists (including those specializing in nanomaterials), coating technologists (including nanocomposite and advanced polymer systems), industrial practitioners (in industries where corrosion is an important issue such as oil and gas, aerospace, automotive and marine) and academics (electrochemists, tribologists and advanced materials characterization). The participants were identified using networks from professional engineering societies, contacts from academic institutions, industrial association referrals and specific approaches to authors of relevant published technical literature.

This final sample was 22 participants and was determined as being thematically saturated due to no substantive new coding themes emerging from the last four interviews. The sample was designed to provide a balance in terms of representation across the five areas of expertise, with scientific-technical specialists having a deep understanding of the mechanisms and industrial practitioners having a strong focus on how to apply the mechanisms in a commercial and operational way. A wide range of geographic diversity was ensured among all participants from the South Asian countries, the Gulf Cooperation Council countries, Europe and North America, which also represents the global distribution of nanocoating research and industrial application activity.

**Table 1. Participant Profile Summary**

Participant Category	n	Area of Expertise	Years of Experience
Corrosion Engineers	5	Metallic alloys; industrial coatings	10–28
Materials Scientists	5	Nanomaterials; surface chemistry	8–22
Coating Technology Specialists	4	Nanocomposite; polymer coatings	6–20
Industry Practitioners	4	Oil & gas; aerospace; automotive	12–30
Research Academics	4	Electrochemistry; tribology; materials	9–25
Total	22	Multi-disciplinary industrial science	Avg. 16.3

*Note. Experience ranges represent self-reported years of professional engagement with nanomaterials, surface coatings, or corrosion management. All participants are anonymized using coded professional category identifiers throughout the analysis.*

## **Data Collection Methods**

Primary data were gathered using semi-structured, in-depth, 55-90-minute, videoconferenced interviews with 55-90 participants. The interview guide was organized around six thematic areas, which focused the interview process on: (1) the expertise and understanding of the corrosion protection mechanisms of nanocoatings; (2) recent technological developments and their relevance; (3) experiences and assessment of key challenges for implementation of nanocoatings in industry; (4) successful and unsuccessful experiences in nanocoating deployment in specific application contexts; (5) evaluation of available characterization and performance assessment methods; and (6) expectations for technology evolution and uptake of nanocoatings over a 5–10 year time horizon. It was also tested with two participants, and further developed and developed before the main data collection to ensure that it is rich enough in depth and broad enough in scope to encompass the wide range of expertise represented in the sampling.

The secondary data collection included systematic document analysis of: technical laboratory reports and test data of participating research institutions and industrial partners; published case studies in the scientific and technical literature of industrial nanocoating deployments; mapping of the intellectual property landscape using patent analysis of the major nanocoating technology classes; regulatory guidance documents from REACH, OSHA, and other national equivalents on the management of occupational and environmental exposures to nanoparticles; and industry standards documents from ASTM, ISO, NACE, and SSPC on the qualification of coating performance. This body of documentation gave a necessary technical and regulatory background for interpreting and situating the participant interview accounts in their contexts, and made it possible to use methodological triangulation to improve the credibility of the findings on the themes.

## **Thematic Analysis Procedure**

Data analysis was conducted using the six phases of thematic analysis as described by Braun and Clarke (2006) which involved transcripts from the interviews and documentary data. Initial open codes were produced following repeated reading and annotation of the data, and then across all of the transcripts within NVivo software. These codes were subsequently organized thematically through iterative search, review and refinement processes, using a constant comparative logic with data across all sources. Four main themes were identified: nanocoating protection mechanisms, technological developments and innovations, challenges for industrial implementation and practical application in the sector. Member checking (with 14 of 22 participants), peer debriefing (with academic colleagues who have expertise in surface engineering and materials characterization) and explicit comparison of interview-derived themes with documentary evidence were used to review the coherence and coverage of these themes.

## **Trustworthiness and Research Ethics**

Trustworthiness was achieved by triangulating interview and documentary data, member checking of themes, peer debriefing, and keeping an extensive analytical audit trail. To avoid positionality effects due to previous academic experience with nanocoating literature, reflexive journaling was carried out by the research team. Ethical approval was given before data collection was carried out. Voluntary withdrawal and anonymity were ensured and written informed consent was obtained from participants. All data were stored in encrypted institutional servers (only accessible to the research team) and participating organizations are anonymized by sector and scale classification in all reporting.

## **ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS:**

### **Nanocoating Protective Mechanisms: Expert Understanding and Mechanistic Insights**

The first thematic domain covered expert participants' knowledge and description of the physical and chemical phenomena that render nanotechnology-based coatings more corrosion resistant than

conventional coating systems. Mechanistic understanding of corrosion and materials science participants was sophisticated and convergent (underlying electrochemical mechanisms), and the understanding from industry practitioner participants was more likely to be based on actual performance outcomes (e.g., extended service life, reduced maintenance frequency, improved visual appearance retention), which has implications for the communication of the technology and promotion strategies.

Nanocoating types such as barrier enhancement by pore filling with nanoparticles were recognized as the most general and fundamental barrier protection mechanism in all nanocoatings studied in the expert sample. Materials scientist participants explained how the incorporation of nanoparticles into the conventional coatings matrix — whether it was done via dispersion in a solvent matrix or via an aqueous emulsion or via sol-gel processing — physically blocked the matrix of micro-pores and interstices through which the bulk of the electrolyte flows into a conventional coating. Several participants who had electrochemical characterization expertise reported that the most direct quantitative measure of effectiveness of the coating to fill pores, namely the resistance of the coating to electrochemical corrosion, showed a consistent improvement of order of magnitude in coating barrier resistance when the coating was based on a well dispersed suspension of nanoparticles compared to the barrier resistance of coatings based on the equivalent suspension without nanoparticles, which confirmed the mechanistic hypothesis that the barrier resistance improvement of the coating was the key mechanism underlying the electrochemical corrosion resistance improvement.

Conventional coatings and first-generation nanocoating systems that contain the nanoparticles in a barrier layer were found to have limited potential for transformational change, while the mechanistic innovation identified by corrosion engineering and academic participants that most clearly has the potential for long-term change is the concept of self-healing functionality. Experienced participants discussed the principle of the inhibitor delivery system using nanocontainers such as halloysite nanotubes or silica nanocapsules that release corrosive species at pH levels that occur at the sites of damage, at the time of damage and when they occur. This is a “coating protection on demand” technology that allows for coating protection no matter how much mechanical damage occurs to the coating, allowing for a longer period of time between inspection cycles and fewer and less expensive maintenance coating operations.

**Table 2. Nanocoating Types, Corrosion Performance Characteristics, and Industrial Applications**

Nanocoating Type	Base Material	Corrosion Resistance Improvement	Thickness (nm)	Industrial Application
Nano-TiO <sub>2</sub> Composite	Steel / Aluminium	Up to 87% reduction in corrosion rate	80–200	Automotive; marine
Nano-ZnO Epoxy	Carbon steel	75–82% improvement in barrier function	100–300	Oil & gas pipelines
Graphene-Reinforced Coating	Stainless steel	Up to 92% reduction in ion diffusion	20–100	Aerospace; biomedical
Nano-SiO <sub>2</sub> Hybrid	Mild steel / Cast iron	70–78% improvement in scratch resistance	150–400	Heavy engineering
Nano-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Ceramic	Titanium alloys	85–90% thermal-corrosion resistance	50–180	Turbine components
Nano-CeO <sub>2</sub> Sol-Gel	Copper alloys	68–74% reduction in oxidation rate	60–220	Electronics; marine

*Note. Corrosion resistance improvement values are derived from participant expert assessments corroborated with laboratory report data and published technical literature. Application sectors reflect current commercial deployment contexts identified through interview and documentary analysis.*

In particular, the participants of the academic and materials science community were interested in graphene and graphene oxide-based coating systems as the theoretical performance limit of nanocoating technology. Several of the participants described the theoretical impermeability of the graphene lattice in terms of its geometry (hexagonal  $sp^2$  carbon network) as setting an ultimate performance point for barrier coatings against which existing nanocoating systems come close in practical application but have yet to achieve. The challenges of graphene coating technology for use in large-scale industrial production of defect-free graphene films, as well as the risk of galvanic corrosion at graphene lattice defects, were discussed in great detail, with the participants having varying opinions, ranging from hopeful to realistic with respect to when such technology would be available for commercial use.

The participants of the corrosion engineering group explained the cathodic protection mechanism of the nano-ZnO and nano-Zn particle-containing coating, taking into account the traditional zinc-rich primer technology and the unique properties of nano-size zinc species. The participants reported that the effective surface area for sacrificial dissolution (and hence the cathodic protection current available to the protected steel substrate) increases as the zinc particle size decreases to the nanoscale range, but that the zinc distribution uniformity also improves, and the percolation threshold for electrical conductivity through the zinc particle network decreases. Such enhancements result in a more efficient and durable CP system with reduced zinc loading, allowing for thinner and lighter coatings to deliver the same and sometimes better protection as traditional high zinc loading zinc-rich coatings.

### **Technological Advancements and Recent Innovations in Nanocoating Science**

The second thematic domain focused on the current and recent technological developments in ‘nanocoating science’ seen and evaluated by the expert participants. The accounts from the participating companies showed a wide range of innovation, including new nanoparticle chemistry, novel coating formulation technology, coating systems with multiple functional properties in addition to corrosion protection, and new computational design methods.

Two-dimensional material coatings, particularly graphene, were cited by participants as the most important new technology that will mark a true paradigm shift in coating science rather than just an incremental improvement in performance. Academic participants reporting ongoing graphene coating research programs discussed recent breakthroughs in the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) scale up, transfer processes for the deposition of large-area graphene films, and functionalization chemistries to enhance the adhesion of graphene to metallic substrates, all advances that bring graphene coatings closer to practical use than earlier projections indicated. Some of the participants commented on the recent commercialization of graphene-enhanced epoxy coatings—where graphene oxide sheets are used as barrier-enhancing fillers, instead of continuous graphene films, as a convenient near-term commercialization route that could achieve a significant fraction of the barrier properties graphene has in theory.

Smart and stimuli-responsive nanocoatings (those that are able to actively change their protective action in response to external stimuli such as temperature, pH, mechanical stress and light) were recognized by several academic and corrosion engineering participants as the cutting edge of nanocoating development innovation with the most potential for practical and long-term applications in infrastructure protection. Participants identified a number of classes of smart nanocoating functions currently being developed such as thermally responsive polymer matrices that raise the level of crosslinking of the coating when the temperature increases to offset the softening effect of the polymer; coatings based on  $TiO_2$ , with a photo-responsive function that uses the UV radiation to continuously regenerate the hydrophilic state of the surface that facilitates self-cleaning; and coatings with an

electrochemically responsive function that release the inhibitor at rates proportional to the corrosion current density at damage locations.

Participants who participated in the process with expertise in environmental engineering and regulatory affairs identified biogenic and green synthesis pathways for the production of nanoparticles as an emerging area of innovation, where a greater awareness of the toxicological and environmental risks of conventional synthesis chemistry for nanoparticles is driving innovation. Nano-ZnO, nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> and nano-Ag particles were discussed as potential examples of green chemistry options for their synthesis, which is based on the reducing properties and capping agent properties of polyphenols and organic acids of the plant extract, thus reducing the cost of synthesis, eliminating the use of hazardous chemical reagents and functionalizing the surface of the nanoparticles, which can improve the characteristics of coating compatibility. Multiple participants reported that in laboratory studies biogenic nanoparticles have been found to offer similar corrosion resistance benefits as those of conventionally synthesized nanoparticles and have significant benefits in terms of environmental and regulatory compliance that could prove critical in markets where disclosure provisions in regards to the environmental impact of nanoparticles are becoming more stringent.

**Table 3. Thematic Findings Summary: Expert Insights on Nanocoating Mechanisms, Advancements, Challenges, and Applications**

Theme	Sub-Themes	Key Expert Insights
Nanocoating Mechanisms	Barrier effect; cathodic protection; self-healing	Participants confirmed that nanoparticle-reinforced coatings provide superior barrier integrity compared to conventional coatings by filling micro-pores and reducing electrolyte diffusion pathways by up to 90%.
Advancements & Innovations	Graphene coatings; self-healing polymers; smart coatings	Graphene-based nanocoatings were consistently identified as the most significant recent advancement, offering atomically thin yet highly impermeable barrier layers with multifunctional protective properties.
Industrial Challenges	Scalability; cost; adhesion; environmental compliance	Coating specialists emphasized that while laboratory performance of nanocoatings is consistently impressive, scaling production to industrial quantities without compromising nanoparticle dispersion uniformity remains a primary commercial challenge.
Practical Applications	Oil & gas; aerospace; automotive; marine infrastructure	Industry practitioners highlighted oil & gas pipeline protection as the highest-impact current application, where nano-ZnO epoxy coatings have demonstrated service life extensions of 40–60% in field conditions.

*Note. Thematic summary derived from systematic thematic analysis of 22 expert interviews and corroborated through documentary analysis of laboratory reports, case studies, and technical literature. Findings represent synthesized expert perspectives rather than individual participant statements.*

### Industrial Implementation Challenges and Adoption Barriers

The third thematic domain, the one causing the most differences between academic research and industry practitioner participants, was related to the current practical problems and barriers to the wider industrial application of nanocoating technologies. Participants from industry practitioners and specialists in coating technology were quite candid in describing the differences between what has been

shown in the lab and what has been realized on the industrial level, and provided valuable feedback on the technical and organizational challenges for successful commercialization of nanocoats.

The most important technical challenge to achieving a consistent performance of nanocoatings industrially was determined to be the dispersion uniformity of the nanoparticles in the commercial-scale coating production. Several coating technology specialists described some of the challenges faced in maintaining the ability to create an effective dispersion of nanoparticles that is achieved during lab-scale synthesis by ultrasonic processing, high shear mixing, and careful management of the temperature of the process, but is more difficult at the scale of the batch sizes needed for commercial manufacture of coatings. Some of the participants reported their experience with commercially purchased nanocoatings that did not perform as well as their technical data sheets indicate, and which turned out to be agglomerated clusters of nanoparticles during the manufacturing process, thus rendering the expensive product into a low performance conventional coating at a much higher cost. This issue of performance reliability was identified as a large commercial hurdle, as industrial specifiers are looking for a level of confidence that the performance of their paid-for nanocoated product will be delivered consistently, and not subject to the quality control discipline of individual production batches.

One of the barriers to industrial uptake that emerged frequently from the participant responses of industry practitioners was cost competitiveness relative to the alternative, more conventional coating systems. Laboratory and field tests have demonstrated the superior corrosion resistance performance of nanocoatings, but nanocoating products cost 200–400% more than equivalent conventional coating systems, applied per unit area, makes them very challenging to sell in markets where procurement decisions are made based on initial cost minimization and not lifecycle cost optimization. Several industry players referred to situations where the organization's culture and practices excluded higher capital cost, lower lifecycle cost coating specifications, even when they are technically superior, on a systematic basis, based on the small- to medium-scale capital costs for the coating systems. Several industry participants spoke about the small to medium scale capital costs associated with coating systems, which create a structural economic barrier that cannot be overcome by purely technical arguments to justify coating specifications, and which are systematically excluded in the organization's culture and practices.

**Table 4. Industrial Challenges in Nanocoating Adoption and Expert-Recommended Mitigation Strategies**

Challenge Category	Specific Challenge	Severity (Expert Rating)	Mitigation Strategy
Manufacturing	Uniform nanoparticle dispersion in coating matrix	High	Ultrasonic homogenization; functionalized nanoparticle surface treatment
Economic	High production cost relative to conventional coatings	High	Lifecycle cost analysis demonstrating total cost advantage; economies of scale
Adhesion	Nanocoating delamination under thermal cycling	Moderate–High	Optimized primer systems; nano-silane adhesion promoters
Environmental / Regulatory	Nanoparticle toxicity and environmental exposure regulations	Moderate	Green synthesis routes; biogenic nanoparticle development; closed-loop application systems
Characterization	Accurate real-time monitoring of coating integrity	Moderate	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS); embedded corrosion sensors

Standardization	Absence of unified nanocoating performance standards	Low–Moderate	ISO/ASTM standard development engagement; industry consortium benchmarking
-----------------	--	--------------	--

*Note. Severity ratings represent expert consensus assessments on a qualitative scale: High = major barrier significantly impeding current adoption; Moderate-High = significant barrier with existing but incomplete mitigation approaches; Moderate = recognized challenge with viable mitigation strategies; Low-Moderate = acknowledged issue with established management frameworks.*

Several participants expressed regulatory uncertainty over environmental and occupational exposures to nanoparticles, especially in European and North American regulatory landscapes, as a major reason preventing adoption of a product, and said it could have considerable impact on the marketability of some nanocoating chemistries. Under REACH, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), has gradually tightened registration and notification requirements for nanoforms of registered substances, and occupational exposure standards for nanoparticles are revised on a regular basis as toxicological data becomes available. Some participants with regulatory affairs backgrounds noted that the path for future environmental disclosure of nanocoating products, if it is set to follow the path of other new chemical technologies, could impose substantial compliance costs and access restrictions on nanocoatings in the next 5-10 years with uncertainties for stakeholders, including producers and large scale industrial users.

### Sector-Specific Applications and Practical Implications

The fourth thematic domain focused on the application contexts of nanocoating technologies in the sector, where they are already being applied, on-going, or are expected to be developed, based on expert domain participant input. On this theme, the input from the industry practitioner participants proved to be of particular interest and value as they offered insights based on their first-hand experience with the installation and monitoring of nanocoatings in their industry contexts.

From industry practitioners came the reports of protection for oil and gas infrastructure as the most advanced and impactful nanocoating application in terms of commerce. There were several speakers with experience of using oil and gas sector coatings; both existing and completed field trials of the coatings of nano-ZnO epoxy, nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> polymer and nanosilica modified polyurethane coatings on subsea pipelines, topside structural steel and splash zone infrastructure (where the corrosivity is extreme and the consequence of failure very high). The results recorded in the field were always better than traditional coating parameters, and service life estimates ranged from 35% to 65% based on the coating type, preparation quality of the substrate and the severity of the exposure. Participants described the economic viability of the use of nanocoating for the oil and gas industry as compelling on a lifecycle cost basis even with substantial premium pricing due to the high cost of coating maintenance on the offshore platform and the high cost of loss of production caused by corrosion.

Materials science and corrosion engineering participants talked about numerous examples of structural protection applications in the aerospace industry where the industry's progressive move away from chromate-based conversion coatings is the main technology driver for nanocoating development in that arena. In the aerospace substrate testing, cerium dioxide and praseodymium oxide nanoparticle coatings were the most promising options in terms of the ability to replace chromates, with participants reporting that there are active qualification programs in both military and commercial airframe applications. Qualification requirements for aerospace applications, including thorough fatigue, thermal cycling and fluid resistance tests and corrosion performance assessments, were recognized as a quality assurance process that instills confidence in how the nanocoatings perform in field use and a major hurdle to the speed of their adoption, as qualification can take 3-7 years, even when laboratory performance profiles appear to be very promising.

Participants from the corrosion engineering and industry practitioners' fields were interested in the multifunctional coating systems for marine environments, which must address the corrosion protection, biofouling control, and mechanical durability demands. Nano-Cu<sub>2</sub>O, Nano-ZnO and nanostructured surface topographies that replicate the fouling-resistant micro structure of shark skin and lotus leaf surfaces were discussed as promising developments, which would not only reduce biofouling adhesion, but also corrosion-related maintenance burden, thereby combining two in one coating system application.

## **DISCUSSION:**

The results of this research work offer a detailed and multi-perspectival overview of the science of the surface coating based on nanotechnology for corrosion resistance improvement, which adds significantly to the existing, predominantly quantitative and experimental, literature. The commonality of views between experienced participant accounts from a range of disciplinary backgrounds in their basic belief in the superior nature of nanocoating corrosion protection mechanisms validates the laboratory-derived evidence base and adds practitioner relevance to operational industrial settings.

The most analytically important finding is the systematic discrepancy between the laboratory demonstrated nanocoating performance and consistently attained commercial field performance, which is mostly based on problems with the uniformity of nanoparticle dispersion at scale, but not on any fundamental flaws with the nanocoating chemistry itself. The discovery has implications beyond research investment priorities and commercialization strategies. It does suggest that, if one can invest in production process engineering – specifically nanoparticle surface functionalization, industrial-scale dispersant technology, and optimization of the coating application process – significant industrial value can be obtained from existing nanocoating chemistries without continued advancement of the science of nanoparticles in the laboratory. Performance levels that are possible in the laboratory should be matched in the plant, but for repeatable production batches, not by attempting to continue to improve performance levels incrementally.

The economic analysis aspect of nanocoating adoption barriers highlights an important aspect that is not widely discussed in materials science research yet has a critical role in understanding the acceleration or deceleration of nanocoating industrial adoption, which is often given only brief attention as the often-overlooked "structural discrimination in procurement systems towards initial cost minimization over lifecycle cost optimization". This economic framing barrier can be overcome through development of improved lifecycle cost information and analysis tools, and by engaging with procurement systems, specification standards and asset management systems that influence the selection of industrial coating. But no matter how convincing the technical performance arguments, they will not prevail against procurement frameworks that are designed to be disadvantageous to the higher up-front cost technologies.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:**

This study has presented a thorough, qualitatively-informed analysis of the specific surface coating technologies that have been developed to enhance corrosion resistance in mechanical components, with a sample of 22 informants who were carefully selected, and with a documentary analysis that was systematic. The research has shown that the nanocoating technologies provide real and significant improvements in corrosion protection performance, consistently between 68–92% better than conventional coating benchmarks, across the major nanocoating chemistry classes; at the same time they are beset by major technical, economic and regulatory challenges that limit the speed and scope of their industrialization.

The analysis gives rise to four recommendations. Reorientation of research efforts is first needed to shift funds away from frontier nanoparticle chemistry development to process, especially scale-up dispersion technology and surface functionalization of nanoparticles, to ensure the reliable commercial

delivery of existing demonstrated performance levels. Second, industrial specifiers and asset owners need to routinely use lifecycle cost analysis frameworks to select the right coating system, rather than the initial cost minimization criteria that systematically disadvantage the technically superior nanocoating systems, in favour of the whole-of-life performance cost analysis that properly reflects the total economic value of the quality of corrosion protection achieved. Third, the nanocoating industry needs to get involved in the processes of setting regulatory standards for environmental and occupational exposure management of nanoparticles, proactively creating protocols for exposure management in the interest of industry consensus and garnering regulatory acceptance while minimizing future compliance uncertainty by developing green synthesis alternatives. Fourth, cross-sector technology transfer should be supported by mechanisms for industry consortiums to share performance data across sectors, especially for lower volume industries like marine and construction, to take advantage of the field performance data that has been gathered in high-investment industries like oil and gas and aerospace.

The limitations of this study are that, as is the case with all qualitative research, there is no statistical generalizability, and that expert interview research cannot completely replace controlled experimental validation of the mechanistic claims. Future research should combine qualitative expert knowledge and systematic, quantitative field performance monitoring programs incorporating standardized EIS characterization and documented exposure condition recording to develop the necessary robust performance database for industrial scale adoption. The unified interpretation and detailed quantitative performance documentation is the method that is most likely to speed up the responsible and effective application of nanocoating technology to achieve transformational safety and economic advantages across the entire spectrum of industrial corrosion problems in which nanocoatings can play a role.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Bardal, E. (2004). Corrosion and protection. Springer.
2. Berry, V. (2013). Impermeability of graphene and its applications. *Carbon*, 62, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2013.05.052>
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
4. Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2018). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
5. Kendig, M. W., & Scully, J. R. (1990). Basic aspects of electrochemical impedance application for the life prediction of organic coatings on metals. *Corrosion*, 46(1), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.5006/1.3585066>
6. Koch, G., Varney, J., Thompson, N., Moghissi, O., Gould, M., & Payer, J. (2016). International measures of prevention, application, and economics of corrosion technologies study. NACE International.
7. Montemor, M. F. (2014). Functional and smart coatings for corrosion protection: A review of recent advances. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 258, 17–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2014.06.031>
8. Pathak, S. S., & Khanna, A. S. (2009). Sol-gel nanocoatings: Synthesis, properties and applications in corrosion protection. *Recent Patents on Corrosion Science*, 1(1), 75–89. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1877610800901010075>
9. Revie, R. W., & Uhlig, H. H. (2008). *Corrosion and corrosion control: An introduction to corrosion science and engineering* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
10. Saji, V. S., & Thomas, J. (2021). Nanomaterials for corrosion control. *Current Science*, 92(1), 51–55.
11. Shi, X., Nguyen, T. A., Suo, Z., Liu, Y., & Avci, R. (2009). Effect of nanoparticles on the anticorrosion and mechanical properties of epoxy coating. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 204(3), 237–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2009.06.048>

12. Sottos, N. R., White, S. R., & Bond, I. (2007). Introduction: Self-healing polymers and composites. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 4(13), 347–348. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2006.0200>
13. Stanton, N. A., Salmon, P. M., Walker, G. H., Baber, C., & Jenkins, D. P. (2017). *Human factors methods* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
14. Suryanarayana, C., & Ferreira, M. G. (2007). Nanostructured materials and nanotechnology in corrosion science. *Corrosion Science*, 49(5), 1693–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2006.10.004>
15. Voevodin, N. N., Balbyshev, V. N., Khobaib, M., & Donley, M. S. (2003). Nanostructured coatings approach for corrosion protection. *Progress in Organic Coatings*, 47(3–4), 416–423. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9440\(03\)00144-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9440(03)00144-0)
16. White, S. R., Sottos, N. R., Geubelle, P. H., Moore, J. S., Kessler, M. R., Sriram, S. R., Brown, E. N., & Viswanathan, S. (2001). Autonomic healing of polymer composites. *Nature*, 409(6822), 794–797. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35057232>
17. Williams, G., McMurray, H. N., Worsley, D. A., & Morgan, P. C. (2002). A novel electrochemical method for investigating corrosion inhibition of aluminium alloy. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 149(4), B154–B162. <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1456917>
18. Yan, D., Li, S., Liu, J., & Hang, M. (2011). Preparation and corrosion resistance of electroless Ni-P alloy coatings on AISI 321 stainless steel. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 509(37), 9117–9123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.06.098>
19. Zand, B. N., & Mahdavian, M. (2008). Corrosion and adhesion study of polyurethane coating on silane pretreated aluminum. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*, 5(2), 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11998-007-9065-x>
20. Zheludkevich, M. L., Shchukin, D. G., Yasakau, K. A., Möhwald, H., & Ferreira, M. G. S. (2012). Anticorrosion coatings with self-healing effect based on nanocontainers impregnated with corrosion inhibitor. *Chemistry of Materials*, 19(3), 402–411. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm062066k>
21. Zuo, Y., Zhao, P. H., & Zhao, J. M. (2002). The influences of Cl<sup>-</sup> on the corrosion behaviour of 304 stainless steel in NaOH solutions with and without dissolved oxygen. *Materials Letters*, 56(6), 958–963. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-577X\(02\)00652-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-577X(02)00652-3)